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ABSTRACT: In this article, we describe a nonlinear threshold chemistry based on enzymatic inhibition and demonstrate how it can be coupled with microfluidics to convert a chemical concentration (analog input) into patterns of ON or OFF reaction outcomes (chemical digital readout). Quantification of small changes in concentration is needed in a number of assays, such as that for cystatin C, where a 1.5-fold increase in concentration may indicate the presence of acute kidney injury or progression of chronic kidney disease. We developed an analog-to-digital chemical signal conversion that gives visual readout and applied it to an assay for cystatin C as a model target. The threshold chemistry is based on enzymatic inhibition and gives sharper responses with tighter inhibition. The chemistry described here uses acetylcholinesterase (AChE) and produces an unambiguous color change when the input is above a predetermined threshold concentration. An input gives a pattern of ON/OFF responses when subjected to a monotonic sequence of threshold concentrations, revealing the input concentration at the point of transition from OFF to ON outcomes. We demonstrated that this threshold chemistry can detect a 1.30-fold increase in concentration at 22 °C and that it is robust to experimental fluctuations: it provided the same output despite changes in temperature (22–34 °C) and readout time (10-fold range). We applied this threshold chemistry to diagnostics by coupling it with a traditional sandwich immunoassay for serum cystatin C. Because one quantitative measurement comprises several assays, each with its own threshold concentration, we used a microfluidic SlipChip device to process 12 assays in parallel, detecting a 1.5-fold increase (from 0.64 (49 nM) to 0.96 mg/L (74 nM)) of cystatin C in serum. We also demonstrated applicability to analysis of patient serum samples and the ability to image results using a cell phone camera. This work indicates that combining developments in nonlinear chemistries with microfluidics may lead to development of user-friendly diagnostic assays with simple readouts.

INTRODUCTION

This paper describes the conversion of a chemical analog input (concentration) via chemical reactions into a digital output, which is represented by a pattern of ON/OFF binary digits (bits) (Figure 1). To achieve such responses, we implemented a threshold chemistry that produces a color change above a predetermined threshold concentration of input. Visual inspection of the pattern of bits with the naked eye or with a simple imaging device such as a cell phone indicates whether the input concentration is above or below the threshold concentration. In this paper, we report theory, experiments, and evaluation of this chemistry in the context of a realistic problem: quantifying small changes in the concentration of serum cystatin C (which inversely correlates with kidney performance). We are not presenting a clinical device that is ready for market, but we have chosen to assess the threshold chemistry within a realistic context with a clinically relevant analyte and a clinically relevant change in concentration.

Simple readerless qualitative assays, such as pregnancy test strips, work well with various analytes and are widely utilized. Qualitative assays have two advantages: their simple readout eliminates the need for a machine (reader) to interpret the results, and they are usually robust to changes in temperature and assay time. Quantitative assays, however, typically rely on kinetic measurements for quantification and therefore require careful control of temperature and assay time to accurately detect small changes in concentration. Simple quantitative assays can be readerless and detect changes in concentration over a large range, but the change in readout is apparent only if those changes are 1 order of magnitude or more. With
We therefore chose an assay for cystatin C as a model system. Here, we asked whether exploiting chemical nonlinearities can produce chemistry that gives robust, readerless quantification of small changes of concentrations despite changes in temperature or time. We tested the idea of “digitizing” the analog chemical signal such that the concentration is presented as a series of ON/OFF bits. At the single-molecule level, such analog-to-digital chemical conversion can be performed with digital PCR and digital immunoassays using microfluidic devices to split a sample into small compartments containing individual molecules. This digital approach can provide quantitative information despite changes in temperature but typically requires a reader. Instead of physically compartmentalizing the individual molecules of the sample, we wished to explore the potential to chemically digitize the analog signal (i.e., concentration) itself. We implemented the analog-to-digital conversion of the input concentration using threshold chemistry, in which a reaction gives an OFF response if the input concentration of the signal is lower than the threshold concentration and gives an ON response—a prominent color change—otherwise (Figure 1A). In this framework, each reaction comprises one “bit”. Multiple bits with different threshold concentrations can be processed in parallel. Arranged in increasing order of threshold concentration, these bits combine to give a distinct point of transition from OFF bits to ON bits, enabling simple visual readout (Figure 1B). Different input concentrations cause the transition to occur at different locations along the series of bits (Figure 1C).

In principle, any threshold chemistry could be used to implement this approach. Thresholds are common in chemical reaction networks in nature and several synthetic threshold chemistries have been developed. In practice, we set four requirements for the threshold chemistry to be used for analog-to-digital conversion: (i) it must be able to detect increases in concentration that are small enough to match the sensitivity requirements of the assay; (ii) it must be tunable to different threshold concentrations; (iii) it must provide visual readout detectable by the naked eye; and (iv) it must be adaptable for different assays. Many established threshold chemistries were not sharp enough for this purpose: they are capable of detecting 2–10-fold increases in concentration, while a useful assay for cystatin C, for example, requires detection of 1.5-fold or smaller increases. A threshold chemistry based on quenching the product of a 1:1 conversion (1 product molecule from 1 input molecule) can work only at concentrations high enough to enable the product to be seen without amplification. Natural threshold chemistries and those inspired by nature can be much sharper but require complex systems of enzymes that are not easily adapted to assays. An elegant system has been developed to use the metabolic “branch point effect” to generate digital outputs that are results of inputs of millimolar glucose concentration and are detected by an electrical reader. However, we wished to develop a general system that is compatible with current immunoassays, is sensitive to concentrations below micromolar, and gives visual readout. In principle, the metabolic “branch point effect” system could be engineered further to perform analog-to-digital chemical conversion, but we found a solution of a different type that can resolve small steps (1.22-fold in theory and 1.30-fold in experiments) at nanomolar concentrations and has the potential to be adapted to other assays that give the biotin group as the reporter.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The threshold chemistry evaluated here is based on enzymatic inhibition: the enzyme is incubated with the competitive inhibitor first, and then, it is allowed to react with the substrate. The concentration of available active enzyme (output) increases dramatically when the total concentration of enzyme (input) changes from just below the concentration of the inhibitor to just above it. This increase in output is larger when the inhibitor binds more tightly to the enzyme. We first analytically specify the requirements for the threshold

---

**Figure 1.** Illustration of analog-to-digital conversion. (A) Depiction of ON/OFF bits. If the input concentration is higher than the threshold concentration, the threshold chemistry gives an ON readout, shown as the color change from purple to white. If the input concentration is lower than the threshold concentration, the color remains purple, or OFF. (B) Illustration of a series of ON/OFF bits. An input, when subjected to a series of increasing threshold concentrations, gives a series of ON/OFF responses, with the point of transition (red) between these ON and OFF states depending on the input concentration. (C) Illustration of analog readout versus digital readout. Analog readout gives a gradient change in color intensity depending on input concentration (blue lettering). Digital readout comprises a series of bits; each bit is either clear (ON) or intensely colored (OFF), and the series of bits changes as the input concentration changes.
Threshold chemistry achieved by competitive inhibition. (A) Schematic drawing of the threshold chemistry and detection reactions. When the concentration of the enzyme acetylcholinesterase (AChE) exceeds that of the inhibitor (syn-(S)-TZ2PIQ-A5<sup>22</sup>), the enzyme hydrolyzes acetylthiocholine to give thiocholine, which reduces the purple suspension of I<sub>3</sub>−/starch complex and results in a clear mixture. (B) Graphical definition of parameters α and γ (eq 1). (C) Plot comparing γ values calculated from different thresh_conc/K<sub>i</sub> via analytical calculations (ana.) and numerical simulations (sim.) with γ = 0.9 at min_thresh_conc/K<sub>i</sub> = 801 as predicted by eq 2. (D) Photograph showing detection of 1.30-fold increases in enzyme concentration with multiple threshold concentrations and visual readout in a 96-well plate. Details of image handling can be found in the Supporting Information.

The threshold chemistry is considered to be sharp when the ratio of outputs is large even with a small ratio of inputs. The parameters α and γ (eq 1 and Figure 2B) are dictated by the requirements of the assay. If a small increase in concentration needs to be detected, then α must be small. If a large difference in output needs to be detected, for instance, to enable easy visual readout, then the ratio of outputs and γ need to be large.

\[
\frac{\text{output}[(1 + \alpha)^\text{thresh_conc}]}{\text{output}[(1 - \alpha)^\text{thresh_conc}]} = \frac{1}{(1 - \gamma)}
\]

With a certain required resolution (1 + α)/(1 + α), the minimum threshold concentration (min_thresh_conc) that can give a ratio of outputs ≥1/(1 − γ) is given by eq 2 (see the Supporting Information for derivation), where K<sub>i</sub> is the dissociation constant of the enzyme/inhibitor complex. The model used to obtain this result consists of enzyme/inhibitor equilibrium followed by Michaelis–Menten kinetics.

\[
\text{min_thresh_conc} = \frac{\gamma(\gamma - \alpha + \alpha\gamma)}{\alpha^2(1 - \gamma)}K_i
\]

According to eq 2, one would like to choose as small a K<sub>i</sub> as possible, so that when the parameters α and γ are predetermined, the threshold chemistry has as large a working range of concentrations as possible (lowest min_thresh_conc) or so that when the min_thresh_conc is predetermined one has as sharp a threshold as possible (large γ with small α).

To evaluate this idea, we chose acetylcholinesterase (AChE) as the enzyme (Figure 2A). We used a potent inhibitor, syn-(S)-TZ2PIQ-A5, with K<sub>i</sub> = 33 nM.<sup>22</sup> When the concentration of the enzyme is higher than that of the inhibitor, it hydrolyzes acetylthiocholine to give thiocholine, which reduces the purple suspension of I<sub>3</sub>−/starch complex to give a clear mixture, enabling threshold responses (Figures 1 and 2). To achieve a resolution of 1.22 = (1 + 0.1)/(1 − 0.1) (with α = 0.1) and a ratio of outputs of 10 = 1/(1 − 0.9) (with r = 0.9), we have min_thresh_conc = 26.4 μM and min_thresh_conc/K<sub>i</sub> = 801 (eq 2). To verify those predictions, we fixed the resolution (by maintaining α = 0.1), varied thresh_conc/K<sub>i</sub> (by changing thresh_conc or K<sub>i</sub>), and calculated γ in each case (using both rate laws and simulation with ordinary differential equations) (Figure 2C). Only when thresh_conc/K<sub>i</sub> ≥ min_thresh_conc/K<sub>i</sub> = 801 did we find γ ≥ 0.9, satisfying the requirement for producing a large distinction in outputs, suitable for visual readout. Note that these calculations assume idealized kinetics, ignoring a number of potential confounding factors present in experiments (e.g., changes of enzymatic activity in the presence of proteins present in realistic samples or competition between
the inhibitor and the substrate used for readout of enzyme activity).

We experimentally detected 1.30-fold increases at nanomolar AChE concentrations with visual readout (Figure 2D). The concentration of input, avidin-conjugated AChE (avidin-AChE), increased by 1.30-fold going across each row of wells on a 96-well plate, while the threshold concentration (set by concentration of the inhibitor) increased 1.30-fold going down each column. In each well, avidin-AChE and the inhibitor were incubated before addition of the substrate mixture (acetylthiocholine/I⁻/starch). The reactions produced ON (clear) or OFF (dark) responses, visible by the naked eye, depending on whether the enzyme concentration was larger or smaller than the inhibitor concentration.

Furthermore, we found that this threshold chemistry is robust to changes in temperature and readout time (Figures 3 and 4). We used a plate reader to control the temperature and measure absorbance from well-plate reactions of acetylcholinesterase with the chromogenic substrate 5,5′-dithiobis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB) (absorbance ∝ [product]). This experiment was designed to follow the principle of the analog-to-digital conversion (Figure 1B): subjecting one concentration of input (enzyme concentration) to multiple thresholds (set by inhibitor concentrations). To test thresholds at high (1.3-fold) resolution, at each temperature, six reactions were set up with the inhibitor concentration following a 1.3× dilution series from 13 to 46 nM. Reactions with inhibitor concentrations that were lower than the enzyme concentration gave ON results (13, 16, 21 nM), while the rest gave OFF results (27, 36, 46 nM). This threshold was robust: the contrast between ON and OFF reactions was consistent over a range of readout times (3–10 min), a range of temperatures (22–34 °C), and three repeats (Figure 3A). This result, predicted by eq 2, was somewhat surprising because this equation does not capture a number of complications, including the temperature dependence of enzyme−substrate and enzyme−inhibitor binding and also the competition between inhibitor present at a low concentration and readout substrate present at a high concentration. We also found that when the enzyme and inhibitor were diluted 10 times, the threshold chemistry yielded narrower working ranges in temperature and readout (25 °C/3–10 min; 28–34 °C/3–30 min) (Figure 3B). We observed
agreement between results from robustness experiments and the model (Figure 4). We used 28 °C because this falls in the middle of the range of temperatures that we tested experimentally. The plot of the model in Figure 4 was generated using analytical calculations of the enzyme/inhibitor equilibrium (Equation S5, Supporting Information) before the enzymatic reaction, followed by use of the Michaelis–Menten model to simulate the enzymatic reaction (with inhibition) via numerically solving ordinary differential equations. The concentrations used in the model match those from the robustness experiments (Figure 3A), and rate constants were obtained from previously published work.22,25

To test this chemistry in the assay for cystatin C, we used a bead-based sandwich assay in which the analyte cystatin C molecule was bound by two different antibodies (Figure 5A). The capture antibody was conjugated to magnetic beads, while the detection antibody was conjugated to biotin, which can bind to avidin-AChE. The amount of AChE immobilized on the beads should therefore correlate to the amount of cystatin C. The magnetic beads allowed for washing and physical transfer of the whole complex from well to well throughout the multistep process.

This analog-to-digital conversion replaces a single analog experiment with multiple ON/OFF digital experiments and uses an approach that enables one to perform multiple experiments in parallel easily while minimizing consumption of samples and reagents. Such multiplexing and miniaturization are enabled by microfluidics, and we used a SlipChip26 microfluidic device to evaluate the chemistry and feasibility of the overall approach. The SlipChip we used was composed of two plates that face one another, each having recessed features (wells, ducts, etc.) to contain aqueous reagents. Complex manipulations of fluids can be programmed into a SlipChip as a pattern of these features. The user can then execute the program by moving these features relative to one another by slipping the plates. This enables multistep processing for a number of applications.12,26,27

We designed the SlipChip (Figure 5B and SC) based on previously published designs for performing immunoassays,27 with an extra step of inhibition, a modified washing mechanism (Figure 5C), different surface coating (fluorinated ethylene propylene), and different loading and assembly protocols. Each of the 12 columns of wells on the chip performs one assay with a certain predetermined threshold concentration. As the top plate moves relative to the bottom plate, the steps of the assay are performed sequentially in each column (Figure 5D) and all of the columns are processed at the same time so that the assays run in parallel.

To test whether this combination of threshold chemistry (Figure 2) and microfluidics (Figure 5) could enable detection of a 1.5-fold increase in the concentration of cystatin C in serum, we set up a device with 1.5-fold steps of increasing inhibitor concentration going from left to right (Figure 6A). In these experiments, we used purified cystatin C dissolved in cystatin C-free serum to avoid confounding the experiments by potential variability of cystatin C concentration in serum of patients. In each column, the output was OFF (dark) if the input (concentration of cystatin C) was below the threshold.

Figure 5. Illustrations of the immunoassay and SlipChip design. (A) Drawing of the complex used in the magnetic bead-based immunoassay for cystatin C. (B) Drawing of the overall SlipChip design. Chip has a top plate (black lines) and bottom plate (blue lines) that face each other. Each of the 12 columns is designed to perform an assay at a different threshold concentration for a total of up to 12 threshold concentrations (or fewer if duplicate assays are desired). Reagents are preloaded into the chip in rows into the layer shown in blue before the sample is introduced into the inlet. To perform each step of the assay, the top plate is slipped according to the “slip” arrow (solid black arrow), performing the assay on all 12 columns in parallel. The green box indicates the column shown in D. (C) Schematic of the washing mechanism, based on dragging magnetic beads through a channel containing washing solution (side view). When the top plate is slipped relative to the bottom plate, beads are moved to the beginning of the channel containing washing solution. Magnet is used to move the beads to the other end of the channel and then to another well on the top plate. Plate is then slipped once more to separate the beads and the channel. (D) Time series illustrating the assay. Only one of the 12 columns is shown (11 times), illustrating the 8 steps of the assay. Movement of the plates of the SlipChip (7 slips total) is shown. Blue (bottom) plate is stationary, and top (black) plate moves along the direction of the arrow shown in B. Movement of magnetic beads (3 transfers) is shown with red dashed arrows.
concentration and the output turned ON (clear) otherwise. The transition position was defined as the point in the bottom row at which a clear-to-dark step was observed. A cystatin C concentration of 0.64 mg/L, which is in the range of normal kidney function, transitioned between columns 8 and 9, producing 4 wells with OFF outputs. The concentration of 0.96 mg/L, which corresponds to stage 3 chronic kidney disease, transitioned between columns 10 and 11, producing 2 wells with OFF outputs (Figure 6B). Experimental details are described in the Supporting Information.

We performed two additional sets (4 chips) of experiments, which also showed a difference in the position of the transition between these two concentrations. All three sets of experiments gave aggregated results of (3, 4, 5) wells with OFF outputs for 0.64 mg/L and (2, 2, 2) wells with OFF outputs for 0.96 mg/L. Thus, an increase of 1.5-fold (from 0.64 to 0.96 mg/L) resulted in a statistically significant difference in outputs (1-tailed p value of 0.0129) for this combination of threshold chemistry and microfluidics.

Next, we tested whether we could systematically adjust the threshold concentrations over a large dynamic range and whether this approach would still perform adequately when used with patient samples. The patient samples were collected and characterized for purposes other than this project (for a prospective observational study investigating novel biomarkers of renal tubular injury following adult cardiac surgery).28,29 archived, and deidentified. Once collected, samples were centrifuged and the supernatant was kept and immediately frozen at −80 °C. Samples that we used were known to have high (3.29 mg/L) and low (0.75 mg/L) cystatin C values. We chose to test samples from patients with variable renal function in order to gain values across the spectrum of disease and across the range of the assay. For controls, we measured concentrations independently using a commercially available ELISA kit with the platform of microtiter plates. With the same chip design, inhibitor concentrations within rows were modified to detect bigger steps (2-fold) in concentration, with a larger overall range of detection (0.64–5.12 mg/L) and correspondingly coarser resolution. The 12 columns on the chip enabled us to set up some of the thresholds in duplicate. This was most useful at low concentrations (and correspondingly, closer transitions), where we observed some noise (out-of-order transitions) for the 1.39 mg/L concentration. Overall, we observed good agreement between ON/OFF transitions for the two samples from patients and those for four standard solutions of known concentration (solution of purified cystatin C dissolved in cystatin C-free serum) (Figure 7A). The contrast of the visual readout was strong enough to be recorded with the camera of a cell phone (iPhone 4) (Figure 7B). We emphasize that there is a trade-off between the resolution, dynamic range, and number of experiments (and therefore the complexity of the microfluidic device) required to implement this analog-to-digital conversion.

We now discuss the features of this approach, its potential shortcomings, and the remaining challenges to its implementation as well as its implications for diagnostic testing using kidney injury as an example. In terms of chemistry, use of tight AChE-inhibitor binding combined with iodine-starch-based readout is attractive because it can be implemented for any sandwich immunoassay, as long as the detection antibody can be conjugated to biotin. The limits of performance of such threshold chemistry would be defined by eq 2. When the input concentration is sufficiently larger or smaller than the threshold concentration, variation in input concentration does not affect the reaction time, because the reaction time is either very fast or very slow, respectively. However, the sensitive range around the threshold is one disadvantage of this chemistry (and any other threshold chemistry). We use the parameter α (eq 1 and Figure 2B) to specify how far away from the threshold concentration the input concentration needs to be for robust performance. Input concentrations sufficiently larger than the threshold concentration (I<T(1 + α) < input, where I<T is the threshold concentration) would make the reactions finish much faster than those from input concentrations slightly smaller than the threshold concentration (input < I<T(1 − α) (Figure 2). Reactions from the former cases would all finish before reactions from the latter case have hardly started, and the readout time would not depend on concentration in those cases. However, when the input concentration is minimally below or above the threshold concentration (I<T(1 − α) < input < I<T(1 + α)), the chemistry may result in intermediate outputs, which may not be easily distinguished from those sufficiently smaller or larger than the threshold. Quantitatively, when the input increases from I<T(1 − α) to I<T(1 + α), the output
increases $1/(1 - \gamma)$ times ($10$ times when $\gamma = 0.9$). In general, any threshold chemistry, by definition, has a sensitive range around the threshold and gives robust output outside the sensitive range. In particular, this threshold chemistry would also give slower reactions (regardless of the threshold) when the absolute concentrations are lower. Some improvement can be achieved by increasing the enzymatic turnover rate or change in absorbance per turnover. An even better approach would be to develop additional nonlinear amplification chemistries that would give even higher resolution and faster readout time. For example, due to the tight and specific binding in DNA and RNA hybridization, we see significant potential in nucleic acid amplification strategies based on autocatalytic enzymatic (e.g., polymerase chain reaction and various flavors of isothermal chemistries\textsuperscript{12,30,31}) amplification or enzyme-free amplification strategies based on DNA circuits and DNA computation.\textsuperscript{32–35} Such approaches, combined with microfluidics, could extend analog-to-digital conversion to quantification of nucleic acids. Furthermore, aptamers and related nucleic acid-based reagents\textsuperscript{36} already used for detection of proteins and small molecules may enable combining threshold approaches and amplification of nucleic acids. This could open the door for detection of a wide range of molecules beyond nucleic acids. Finally, we see opportunities for exquisite molecular control provided by an exciting array of chemical amplification approaches using autocatalysts, such as diyal-ketone,\textsuperscript{37} piperidine,\textsuperscript{38} fluoride,\textsuperscript{39} hydrogen peroxide, and glucose.\textsuperscript{40} These amplification chemistries, when coupled with controls to provide threshold behavior, chemistries to correlate with target analytes, and appropriate devices, will provide quantification techniques for a wide range of analytes and uses.

Here, we used microfabricated devices produced in a research laboratory and operated by a trained user. For diagnostic use, a mass-produced device would be required with on-board reagent storage and stabilization. For measuring an analyte with only a few discrete clinically relevant cut-offs, such as cystatin C, a device that performs approximately a dozen parallel assays is sufficient. However, larger numbers of assays would be needed for measurements that require higher dynamic range or finer resolution than those presented here to generate redundant assays and to implement on-chip controls and calibration.

We have not optimized the speed of the assay (each chip assay took about 4.5 h, with the major time-consuming steps being analyte binding and the readout reaction). Now that we demonstrated that the analog-to-digital conversion chemistry can be used in the context of a realistic assay, such optimization of timing would be appropriate for subsequent work.

While visual readout and immediate interpretation are attractive for designs with only a few assays, the ability to read the assay with a cell phone (Figure 7B) is appealing for several reasons: (i) interpreting results of controls and calibrations and inferring the validity of the assay; (ii) performing statistical interpretation of the data to provide confidence intervals for assay results; and (iii) transmitting the data to physicians and archiving data in electronic medical record (EMR) databases. For example, acute kidney injury is detectable by measuring cystatin C levels in a range compatible with this approach. Diagnosing AKI under limited-resource settings may be needed in events of trauma (injuries of the kidney or injuries that lead to sudden, serious blood loss, blockage of the urinary tract, or poisoning) if laboratory-based medical testing is impractical or unavailable. Such situations may arise in the event of accidents in remote areas or injuries after natural disasters such as earthquakes that damage infrastructure (where crush injuries and the resultant rhabdomyolysis result in kidney injury). Furthermore, chronic kidney disease offers another reason to measure cystatin C under limited resource settings, such as in developing countries. In the United States, as well, awareness of chronic kidney disease remains low among the estimated 20 million people afflicted (7.8% awareness for individuals with stage 3 disease\textsuperscript{41}), even though cystatin C immunoassays are available in hospitals. In both acute kidney injury and chronic kidney disease identifying small increases in the concentration of cystatin C (1.5-fold or smaller) is crucial for accurate diagnosis. The availability of an assay that can quantify these small increases would enable timely detection of acute kidney injury and

Figure 7. Results from standard solutions and clinical serum samples over a wide dynamic range. (A) Photographs of results, with each row corresponding to one assay (one SlipChip device). (B) Photograph of the result of the 3.29 mg/L patient sample recorded with an iPhone 4 camera. Only the first 8 columns of the device described in Figure 5 are shown. Sequence of inhibitor concentrations was set up as in Figure 6A but with larger steps in concentration (0, 9.4, 19, 38, 75, 150, and 300 nM). Columns 3–4, 5–6, 7–8, 9–10, and 11–12 were set up as duplicates such that each pair contained the same inhibitor concentration. Scale bar: 2 mm. Details of image handling are included in the Supporting Information.
encourage screening to increase awareness of chronic kidney disease, facilitating care. Robustness of digitized assays to temperature and reaction time (Figure 3) may aid implementation of such assays in limited-resource settings, because it could enable simple devices for quantitative measurements without requiring precise control of temperature or timing of the measurement.

■ CONCLUSIONS

We draw five conclusions from this work. (i) Analog-to-digital signal conversion can be implemented using threshold chemistry (as discussed above, the analog-to-digital conversion described in this paper is distinct from “digital” single-molecule measurements). (ii) This threshold chemistry shows robustness to variations in temperature and readout time. (iii) The threshold chemistry can be implemented within the realistic context of a cystatin C assay: combined with a microfluidic device, it detected a 1.5-fold increase in the concentration of cystatin C in serum at nanomolar concentrations, and the assay performed properly with clinical samples. (iv) An advantage of this method is that it provides quantitative information interpretable by the naked eye or a cell phone camera, without requiring quantitative instrumentation. (v) A disadvantage of analog-to-digital signal conversion is that one assay is replaced with multiple assays (the number of assays depends on the resolution and the dynamic range desired). Advances in microfluidics could enable parallel manipulations, reduce the amount of sample required, and make digital-to-analog conversion feasible with no extra reagent cost or user intervention. Clinically validated and field-useable readerless quantitative assays suitable for providing medical care under limited resource settings, while not a goal of this paper, would be enabled by additional developments in automated user-friendly microfluidics and threshold chemistries.
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