# Complex function by design using spatially pre-structured synthetic microbial communities: degradation of pentachlorophenol in the presence of Hg(II)<sup>†</sup><sup>‡</sup>

Hyun Jung Kim,§ Wenbin Du and Rustem F. Ismagilov\*

Received 24th March 2010, Accepted 10th July 2010 DOI: 10.1039/c0ib00019a

Naturally occurring microbes perform a variety of useful functions, with more complex processes requiring multiple functions performed by communities of multiple microbes. Synthetic biology via genetic engineering may be used to achieve desired multiple functions, e.g. multistep chemical and biological transformations, by adding genes to a single organism, but this is sometimes not possible due to incompatible metabolic requirements or not desirable in certain applications, especially in medical or environmental applications. Achieving multiple functions by mixing microbes that have not evolved to function together may not work due to competition of microbes, or lack of interactions among microbes. In nature, microbial communities are commonly spatially structured. Here, we tested whether spatial structure can be used to create a community of microbes that can perform a function they do not perform individually or when simply mixed. We constructed a core-shell fiber with Sphingobium chlorophenolicum, a pentachlorophenol (PCP) degrader, in the core layer and Ralstonia metallidurans, a mercuric ion  $(Hg(\Pi))$  reducer, in the shell layer as a structured community using microfluidic laminar flow techniques. We applied a mixture of PCP and Hg(II) to either a simple well-mixed culture broth (*i.e.* the unstructured community) or the spatially structured core-shell fibers. We found that without spatial structure, the community was unable to degrade PCP in the presence of Hg(II)because S. chlorophenolicum is sensitive to Hg(II). In contrast, with spatial structure in a core-shell fiber system, S. chlorophenolicum in a core layer was protected by R. metallidurans deposited in a shell layer, and the community was able to completely remove both PCP and Hg(II) from a mixture. The appropriate size of the core-shell fiber was determined by the Damköhler number-the timescale of removal of Hg(II) was on the same order of the timescale of diffusion of Hg(II) through the outer layer when the shell layer was on the order of  $\sim 200 \ \mu m$ . Ultimately, with the ease of a child putting together 'Legos' to build a complex structure, using this approach one may be able to put together microorganisms to build communities that perform functions in vitro or even in vivo, e.g. as in a "microbiome on a pill".

Department of Chemistry and Institute for Biophysical Dynamics, The University of Chicago, 929 East 57th Street, Chicago, Illinois 60637 † Published as part of a themed issue on Synthetic Biology: Guest Editor Professor John McCarthy

§ Current address: Wyss Institute for Biologically Inspired Engineering, Harvard University, 3 Blackfan Circle, Boston, MA, USA

# Insight, innovation, integration

**Insight:** This paper illustrates a biomimetic approach of using spatial structure instead of genetic engineering to create microbial communities performing functions that individual microbes cannot perform, and provides a simple framework for choosing appropriate spatial structure by considering the balance of diffusion and reactions described by the Damköhler number.

**Innovation:** This insight could not have been obtained without the use of microfluidics to create spatially structured

## Introduction

This paper describes an approach to synthetic biology that does not require genetic engineering to create complex functions: instead of combining genes in an organism, we used microfluidics to combine organisms within a spatially structured microbial community. The solutions to many societal

microbial communities inside gel fibers on the 100-micrometer scale.

**Integration:** This paper demonstrates two-way integration of biology and technology. Mircofluidic technology is enabling understanding of how the microbial communication is impacted by the spatial structure. Microbial communities found in nature are serving as inspiration for creation of new types of microsystems that perform multiple functions.

<sup>‡</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Supporting figures. See DOI: 10.1039/c0ib00019a

problems, such as combating disease, remediating environmental contaminants, and producing food and fuels, require multiple functions to be performed. Synthetic biology attempts to address these problems by constructing biological systems to carry out the desired functions<sup>1-5</sup> or to investigate spatial dynamics of interacting microbial communities,<sup>6,7</sup> and microbes are often used to this end. One approach to synthetic biology is to genetically engineer microbes, so that all the desired functions are carried out by a single strain.<sup>8,9</sup> However. multiple functions can be difficult to combine into a single organism, as these functions may require contrasting metabolic pathways or mutually exclusive environments such as aerobic vs. anaerobic. Genetic engineering is not straightforward if the genetics of the organism and the genes involved are not fully known. Also, it may be difficult to justify the use of genetically modified organisms outside of bioreactors, especially in environmental or medical applications. Another potential approach to synthetic biology is to engineer a microbial community to perform the desired functions. Often this is done by simply mixing several species of microbes, as is commonly done for probiotics,<sup>10</sup> bioremediation,<sup>11</sup> or biofuel production,<sup>12</sup> but a multispecies community may not be stable or viable if the species have differential growth rates or mismatched nutritional gradients, or if toxic compounds are released by one species.<sup>7,13–17</sup> Microbial communities are common in nature and can perform multiple functions that a single organism cannot perform. More importantly, many of these communities have specific spatial structures (Fig. 1).<sup>18</sup> Although these structured communities are common, how spatial structure impacts the function of these communities is only beginning to be understood.<sup>7,19,20</sup> Mimicking these spatially structured communities in the laboratory could provide additional understanding of how spatial structure modulates microbial interactions.

Here we tested whether spatial structure can be used to create a biomimetic synthetic community of microbes to perform a function they do not perform individually or when simply mixed. We tested this hypothesis by using a community we designed to remove a mixture of pollutants: pentachlorophenol (PCP) and the heavy metal ion Hg( $\pi$ ). These two contaminants are likely to co-exist at sites where PCP was produced by direct chlorination with chlorine that was obtained by electrolysis using Hg electrodes in a chlor-alkali process.<sup>21–25</sup> Heavy metals inhibit the degradation of organic



**Fig. 1** Images from the previous literature<sup>18</sup> illustrating the spatial structure of microbial communities involved in environmental remediation in soil and sludges. (a) Scanning electron microphotograph of a thermophilic sludge granule. (b) *In situ* hybridization of sections from a thermophilic sludge granule shows bacterial species (green) surrounding archaebacterial species (red). (c) A higher magnification of b). Spatial structure is crucial to balance transport and reactions in these granules. Reprinted from Reference 18, with permission from the American Society for Microbiology (C 2009).

pollutants, making simultaneous degradation difficult.26-28 PCP is one of the most recalcitrant and toxic environmental pollutants,<sup>28-31</sup> and the levels of PCP found in soil are as high as 200 mg/kg-soil.<sup>32</sup> Mercury is highly toxic to bacteria,<sup>33</sup> and the levels of mercury found in contaminated soil range from 0.01 to 4.6 mg/kg-soil in US.<sup>34</sup> Rather than expressing multiple genes in a single organism to perform multiple functions, we used microfluidics to construct a synthetic functional community where a species that was sensitive to one pollutant was protected by another species. Sphingobium chlorophenolicum (ATCC 53874) is an aerobic, pH-neutral (Fig. S1) PCP degrader<sup>33</sup> that is sensitive to mercury and is unable to remove Hg(II) ions (Fig. S2). We protected the mercury-sensitive S. chlorophenolicum by surrounding it with the mercury-reducing Ralstonia metallidurans (ATCC 43123)<sup>35,36</sup> that does not decompose PCP (Fig. S3). We used a microfluidic device (Fig. 2) to construct a functional community within a core-shell structure in a Ca-alginate gel fiber, and tested whether spatial structure enables the community to decompose PCP and reduce Hg(II) simultaneously when we applied the complex contaminant mixture in the reaction medium.

## **Results and discussion**

First, to test the importance of spatial structure, we tested whether the community could degrade the mixture of pollutants in the absence of spatial structure by measuring the rate of removal of a mixture of both PCP and Hg(II) (Fig. 3a). Concentrations of mercury were measured using cold vapor atomic absorption analysis; concentrations of PCP were determined by HPLC analysis<sup>37</sup> (see Experimental section). The degradation of PCP to tetrachloro-*p*-hydroquinone (Fig. 2a) is the rate limiting step when PCP is decomposed aerobically, followed by dechlororination steps and a ring fission step.37 While Hg(II) ions were rapidly reduced by the well-mixed, unstructured community, degradation of PCP by this community was negligible (Fig. 3a). Control experiments showed that this lack of degradation of PCP was not due to the presence of R. metallidurans, as R. metallidurans does not interfere with PCP degradation by S. chlorophenolicum in a well-mixed community (Fig. 4). Furthermore, PCP was not toxic to these strains at the concentrations used (Fig. S4). However, Hg(II) ions were toxic to S. chlorophenolicum at 50 uM (Fig. S5) and 20 uM (Fig. S6), suggesting that the lack of degradation of PCP by the unstructured community was due to the expected sensitivity of S. chlorophenolicum to Hg(II) ions.

We hypothesized that a core-shell spatial structure (Fig. 2a), with *S. chlorophenolicum* in the core and *R. metallidurans* in the shell, would result in a community that is able to both remove Hg(II) ions and degrade PCP. The *S. chlorophenolicum* cells in the core would degrade the PCP and be protected from the Hg(II) ions by the *R. metallidurans* cells in the shell, which would reduce the Hg(II) to Hg(0). To choose the appropriate spatial scale for the core-shell fiber, we analyzed the balance of reaction and transport rates within the community. For spatial structure to affect the kinetics of degradation, the reaction network must meet two requirements: the kinetics of the



**Fig. 2** The spatially structured synthetic microbial community that performs simultaneous degradation of pentachlorophenol (PCP) and reduction of Hg(II). (a) A schematic of the synthetic community. *Sphingobium chlorophenolicum* aerobically degrades the PCP molecules in the core fiber. *Ralstonia metallidurans* reduces mercuric ions, Hg(II), to non-toxic elemental mercury, Hg(0), in the shell. The chemical transformation from PCP to tetrachloro-*p*-hydroquinone, the key limiting step in PCP degradation, is highlighted. (b) A schematic of the process by which the core-shell fiber system was constructed using a seven-barrel capillary. Cells were first suspended in a sodium alginate solution, then suspensions of *S. chlorophenolicum* (barrel 1) and suspensions of *R. metallidurans* (barrels 2–7) were extruded from the capillary into a CaCl<sub>2</sub> solution, forming a core-shell fiber system consisting of one core part and six shell parts with cells in a calcium alginate matrix (see Experimental section). (c) An overlay of fluorescent and bright field microphotographs shows the spatial structure of a core-shell fiber system. This core-shell fiber system contained GFP-labeled *Escherichia coli* cells in the shell layer and RFP-labeled *E. coli* cells in the core layer. (d) A schematic of the process to create fibers with seven independently controlled sections. Cells were first suspended in a solution of sodium alginate, then suspensions of RFP-labeled *E. coli* (barrel 1), *Salmonella enterica* (barrels 2, 4, and 6), and GFP-expressing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (barrels 3, 5, and 7) were extruded into a calcium alginate matrix (see Experimental section). (e) An overlay of fluorescent and bright field microphotographs shows the spatial structure of a seven-part fiber system.

reactions must be nonlinear<sup>38</sup> and the scale of the structure must be appropriate for the reactions.<sup>39</sup> A core-shell system for mercuric ion reduction and PCP degradation satisfies both requirements. First, nonlinearity is inherent in this system, because the rate of degradation of PCP strongly depends on the concentration of Hg(II) ions (Fig. S6). Second, the appropriate scale of the spatial structure is defined by the Damköhler number, Da, which relates the time scale of diffusion of Hg(II) ions through the shell layer,  $t_{\rm D}$ , to the time scale ( $\sim$  half life) of reduction of Hg(II) ions by *R. metallidurans*,  $t_R$ . Stated simply, the Da analysis predicts that if the shell layer is too thin, the S. chlorophenolicum cells inside the core layer will be insufficiently protected; the Hg(II) ions will diffuse into the core layer faster than the R. metallidurans cells in the shell layer can reduce the Hg(II) to Hg(0). On the other hand, if the shell layer is too thick, the kinetics of the PCP degradation in the core layer will be limited because the amounts of available substrates (and possibly oxygen and nutrients) will be limited by the time of diffusion through the shell layer. For a core-shell system,  $t_{\rm D} \sim x^2/2D$ , where x [m] is the thickness of the shell layer and  $D [m^2 s^{-1}]$  is the diffusion coefficient of the substrate through the shell layer. For the scale of the spatial structure to be appropriate,  $t_{\rm D}$  must be on the order of  $t_{\rm R}$ . Here, the substrate is Hg(II) ions and their diffusion coefficient through alginate gel is  $\sim 3 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1.40}$  The time scale of reduction of Hg(II) ions by *R. metallidurans* was more rapid than the resolution of our measurements; the specific rate was above 0.5 fmol CFU<sup>-1</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>, indicating that under our conditions  $t_{\rm R}$  is ~ one minute or shorter. Thus, for  $t_{\rm D}$  to match  $t_{\rm R}$ , x must be ~200 µm. Da analysis of this specific system predicts optimal structure on the scale of a few hundred micrometers, similar to ones observed in nature<sup>18</sup>

(*e.g.*, as seen in Fig. 1), and similar to the one found experimentally for synthetic spatially structured communities constructed in microfluidic devices.<sup>7</sup>

We constructed an appropriately scaled core-shell fiber system containing cells suspended in calcium alginate gel (2% w/v, final concentration of sodium alginate solution) using previously described microfluidic laminar flow technologies<sup>41,42</sup> (see Fig. 2, Fig. S7, and Experimental section). Using the seven-barrel capillary system, fibers with seven independently controlled sections could be constructed (Fig. 2d and e). In preliminary experiments, we found that the degradation of PCP was not dependent on the presence of alginate. Agarose fibers also enabled degradation of PCP, at a slightly higher rate than Ca-alginate fibers, but we chose Ca-alginate fibers because alginate was easier to use and handle. Fibers contained S. chlorophenolicum cells in the core layer (163 µm diameter, RSD 2.3%, n = 11, measured at different positions along the same fiber) and R. metallidurans cells in the shell layer (379 µm outer diameter, RSD 1.5%, n = 11, measured at different positions along the same fiber). When we constructed the core-shell fiber system, the core layer was extruded out via one capillary and the shell layer was extruded out via six capillaries in a device (Fig. 2b and e). Accordingly, the cell densities of the two species were adjusted to keep the total number of cells of each species the same in the fiber: the cell density of S. chlorophenolicum in the core was  $\sim$  5  $\times$  $10^8$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>; the cell density of *R. metallidurans* in the shell was 1/6 of ~ 5 × 10<sup>8</sup> CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>, or ~ 8.3 × 10<sup>7</sup> CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>. We found that this spatially structured community rapidly degraded PCP even in the presence of Hg(II) ions (Fig. 3b). The rate of PCP degradation by the core-shell fiber system was slower than that by S. chlorophenolicum in liquid culture



Fig. 3 A well-mixed synthetic microbial community could not simultaneously degrade PCP and reduce Hg(II), but the same community performed both functions when it was spatially structured. Both communities were exposed to a mixture of PCP (120 µM) and Hg(II) (120 µM). PCP was added at time zero, Hg(II) ions were added at 1.5 h. (a) Concentration of PCP (red circles) and Hg(II) ions (blue triangles) exposed to a well-mixed culture of S. chlorophenolicum and R. metallidurans as a function of time. The mixture did not degrade PCP but reduced Hg(II) ions. After 3 h, the level of Hg(II) was below the detection limit (0.37 µM). The cell density of each species was  $\sim 5 \times 10^8 \, \text{CFU} \, \text{mL}^{-1}$ . The results of control experiments in the absence of cells are shown with open symbols. (b) Concentration of PCP (red circles) and Hg(II) ions (blue triangles) exposed to core-shell fibers made of S. chlorophenolicum in the core and R. metallidurans in the shell as a function of time. Symbols are the same as in (a). The structured community simultaneously degraded PCP and reduced Hg(II). After 7 h, the level of Hg(II) was below the detection limit. In the fiber, the cell density of S. chlorophenolicum was  $\sim 5 \times 10^8$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>, the cell density of *R. metallidurans* was  $\sim 8.3 \times 10^7$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>. In both (a) and (b), the error bars indicate standard errors (n = 2).

(Fig. 3b vs. Fig. S2). This was presumably due to limited mass transport of PCP and, potentially, nutrients and oxygen, into the interior of the fiber, although we did not attempt to distinguish these potential reasons. Nevertheless, the coreshell fiber system was able to degrade nearly all the PCP present over 24 h (Fig. 3b). Furthermore, as a control experiment, we prepared fibers with a spatial organization of R. metallidurans in the core layer and S. chlorophenolicum in the shell layer, and observed a significantly decreased capacity for PCP degradation (Fig. 5). When S. chlorophenolicum cells were constrained in the core layer and R. metallidurans cells were deposited in the shell layer (black triangles; 'original'), these fibers completely degraded all of the PCP in the presence of Hg(II) ions. In contrast, when R. metallidurans cells were constrained in the core layer and S. chlorophenolicum cells were constrained in the shell layer (red inverted triangles; 'inverted'), these fibers degraded only  $\sim 50\%$  of the PCP in



**Fig. 4** *R. metallidurans* cells neither degrade PCP (black diamonds) nor interfere with PCP degradation (red circles). Degradation of PCP was confirmed in both the pure culture of *S. chlorophenolicum* species (black triangles) and the well-mixed co-culture of *R. metallidurans* and *S. chlorophenolicum* species (red circles). In this experimental setup, no Hg(II) ions were added. The initial cell density of each species was adjusted to be ~ 10<sup>8</sup> CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>. Error bars indicate standard errors (n = 2).

the presence of Hg(II) ions. Therefore, the specific spatial organization of the two bacterial species within the core-shell fiber system is required for successful degradation of the PCP and Hg(II) mixture.

#### Conclusions

These results suggest that just as complex structures are built by carefully arranging 'Lego' blocks, complex chemical



**Fig. 5** Different spatial organizations affect the efficiency of the functions in a synthetic bacterial community. The experimental setup 'original' indicates a spatially structured fiber containing *S. chlorophenolicum* cells in the core layer and *R. metallidurans* cells in the shell layer (black triangles); in contrast, 'inverted' represents a structured fiber constraining *R. metallidurans* cells in the core layer and *S. chlorophenolicum* cells in the shell layer (red inverted triangles). In this experiment, initial concentration of Hg(II) was adjusted at 100 µM in PM media from HgCl<sub>2</sub> stock solution (10 mM). The final cell density of the species constrained in a core layer was ~5 × 10<sup>8</sup> CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>, whereas the initial cell density of the species constrained in a shell layer was ~8.33 × 10<sup>7</sup> CFU mL<sup>-1</sup> at 0 h. Error bars indicate standard errors (n = 2).

functions can be performed by arranging individual species within a spatial structure to construct a synthetic community. Microfluidic techniques are attractive for constructing these structured communities, both within a microfluidic device<sup>7</sup> and scaling them up into structures usable outside of devices, as shown here. The device-free structured community that we developed can be used in applications such as environmental remediation, biomedical probes, probiotic therapeutics, and microbial aerobic-anaerobic interfaces, especially as additional investigations, and the necessary field testing (not performed in this paper), are carried out. This approach would further benefit from materials with controlled mechanical and chemical properties<sup>43,44</sup> that facilitate long-term function of communities, and from particles and fibers with mass-production technologies.<sup>45–48</sup> The Damköhler number can be adjusted into the desired range by adjusting the dimensions and transport properties of the materials used to build the communities, the reaction rates, and the densities of microbes within the communities. Modifying the relative geometric arrangements of microbes within the structures (another possible arrangement is shown in Fig. 2d and e) may be used to control communication of microbes and the sequence of functions that are required.

This approach provides an alternative to genetic engineering to carry out multiple biological functions. Structured microbial communities of non-modified strains can be used in situations where genetically modified organisms are undesirable, such as in environmental remediation, medicine, and agriculture. If the use of genetically modified organisms is not problematic, genetic engineering can be combined with spatial structure; for example, structured communities of modified organisms can be used within bioreactors to maximize reaction yields. Pre-structuring a microbial community may also be beneficial when a synthetic system needs to be constructed rapidly, especially with strains not currently amendable to rapid engineering. It may also be attractive in cases when the system can be optimized by varying the spatial structure rather than re-engineering of strains (e.g. to vary the reaction rates or the strength of coupling among reactions). This approach of pre-structuring microbial communities is applicable to any set of functions where diffusible molecules are exchanged among microorganisms, such as signaling molecules or intermediates of reactions. This microfluidic-based approach for creating synthetic microbial communities may improve synthesis, degradation, modification, and regulation of compounds such as small molecules, biopolymers, and supramolecular assemblies. In the context of human and animal health, it provides an intriguing opportunity to create a "microbiome on a pill" that functions beyond conventional probiotic approaches. Chemical, biological, and technical intuition, coupled with research on natural communities that explores the functions and interactions of their microbial constituents<sup>2,5,20,49-54</sup> will provide this approach with guidance and inspiration.

# Experimental

## Cultivation of microorganisms and culture media

Bacterial strains of *Sphingobium chlorophenolicum* (ATCC 53874) and *Ralstonia metallidurans* (ATCC 43123) were purchased

from the American Type Culture Collection. *S. chlorophenolicum* and *R. metallidurans* cells were respectively enriched in sterilized PCP-Mercury (PM) media (0.5 g L<sup>-1</sup> sodium gluconate, 0.1 g L<sup>-1</sup> ammonium acetate, 0.25 g L<sup>-1</sup> yeast extract, 0.25 g L<sup>-1</sup> casamino acid, 0.1 g L<sup>-1</sup> lactic acid, 2.5 g L<sup>-1</sup> mono sodium glutamate, 0.5 g L<sup>-1</sup> sodium nitrate, 0.82 g L<sup>-1</sup> potassium phosphate (dibasic), 0.19 g L<sup>-1</sup> potassium phosphate (monobasic), 0.1 g L<sup>-1</sup> magnesium sulfate (heptahydrate), and 0.005 g L<sup>-1</sup> iron( $\pi$ ) sulfate (heptahydrate); pH 7.2) at 30 °C for 12 h. In the case of liquid culture, agitation was kept at 180 rpm.

For the seed culture of *S. chlorophenolicum* cells, a pentachlorophenol (PCP; 98% purity, Aldrich) stock solution was prepared by dissolving PCP in 1 M sodium hydroxide solution at 100 mM (final concentration), then diluted in PM media to 10 mM (final concentration). The 10 mM stock solution of PCP in PM media was then added to the seed culture broth of *S. chlorophenolicum* cells at 50  $\mu$ M (final concentration) in order to induce the PCP degradation pathway. After *S. chlorophenolicum* cells were exponentially grown in the presence of PCP in PM media, the cell suspension was mixed with a sterilized glycerol stock solution (40%, v/v) at a 1:1 volume ratio, then stored in a -70 °C deep freezer.

For the seed culture of *R. metallidurans* cells, a mercury(II) chloride (HgCl<sub>2</sub>; 99.5% purity, Acros Organics) stock solution was prepared by dissolving HgCl<sub>2</sub> in D.I. water at 100 mM (final concentration), then diluted in PM media to 10 mM (final concentration). The 10 mM stock solution of HgCl<sub>2</sub> in PM media was then added to the seed culture of *R. metallidurans* cells at 50  $\mu$ M (final concentration) in order to induce the production of mercury reductases. *R. metallidurans* was subcultured every 3 days on fresh agar media comprised of sterilized Difco tryptic soy agar (TSA; 40 g L<sup>-1</sup>; BD Company) media and mercuric ions (Hg(II); 100  $\mu$ M, final concentration), then preserved at 4 °C.

The density of *S. chlorophenolicum* and *R. metallidurans* cells was estimated by measuring the optical density using a spectrophotometer (8453 UV-Visible Spectrophotometer, Agilent Technologies) at 600 nm. For growth profiling of both *S. chlorophenolicum* and *R. metallidurans* cells, cells were individually cultured in a sterile 96-well plate (Costar, Corning Incorporated) using different conditions of medium. The optical density of the culture broth was intermittently measured at 600 nm by using a microplate reader (POLARstar Omega, BMG Labtech).

In Fig. 2c, the fluorescence-labeled bacterial strains used were (i) green fluorescent protein (GFP)-labeled *Escherichia coli* containing PUCP24/EGFP plasmids in *E. coli* K12 YMel-1 host and (ii) red fluorescent protein (RFP)-labeled *E. coli* containing DsRed encoding plasmids in *E. coli* DH10B host. The GFP-labeled *E. coli* was constructed in our laboratory. The RFP-labeled *E. coli* strain was provided by Professor Benjamin Glick of the University of Chicago. GFP-labeled *E. coli* was cultured in sterilized tryptic soy broth (TSB, BD Company) media containing 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> kanamycin and 20 mg L<sup>-1</sup> gentamicin. RFP-labeled *E. coli* was cultured in sterilized TSB media containing 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> ampicillin. After both strains were suspended in a sterilized sodium chloride solution (0.9%, w/v), the numbers of fluorescent cells of each strain were diluted to be similar using an epi-fluorescence microscope (IRE2, Leica).

In Fig. 2e, the fluorescence-labeled bacterial strains used were (i) red fluorescent protein (RFP)-labeled *E. coli* containing DsRed encoding plasmids in *E. coli* DH10B host, provided by Professor Benjamin Glick of the University of Chicago. (ii) *Salmonella enterica* (ATCC 14028), purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), (iii) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* containing the plasmid pMHLB, which encodes the lasB reporter PlasB-gfp(ASV), which causes the cell to express GFP at high cell density. This strain was generously provided by Professor Soren Molin.<sup>55</sup> RFP-labeled *E. coli* was cultured in sterilized TSB media containing 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> ampicillin at 30 °C. *GFP*-expressing *P. aeruginosa* was cultured in LB medium with 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> ampicillin at 30 °C. All three strains were cultured for 8 h, then immediately used to construct the core–shell fiber.

## Fabrication of devices

A seven-barrel capillary (World Precision Instruments, USA) with an outer diameter (O.D.) of 3 mm was pulled by using a vertical pipette puller (Model 700, David Kopf Instruments, USA) so that the O.D. at the tip was  $\sim 0.6$  mm. Then the seven-barrel capillary (SBC) was cut to a length of 2 cm by using a capillary cutting stone (Hampton Research, USA). Seven fused silica capillaries (4 cm length, 300 µm inner diameter (I.D.), 420 µm O.D., Polymicro, USA) were inserted through the seven inlets of the SBC and then sealed with epoxy (Devcon, USA). Each capillary was attached to a piece of Teflon tubing (20 cm length, 360 µm I.D., Weico Wire & Cable, Edgewood, NY). Another short piece of Teflon tubing (3 cm length, 1.5 mm I.D., Upchurch Scientific, USA) with a conical tip (400 µm I.D.) that had been elongated by using a vertical pipette puller (Model 700, David Kopf Instruments, USA) was sealed onto the tapered tip of the SBC with epoxy. Devices were sterilized by rinsing with 70% (v/v) ethanol and then baking in a 125 °C oven for 30 min prior to use.

## Construction of fibers

To construct the core-shell fiber system, both *S. chlorophenolicum* and *R. metallidurans* cells were cultured in PM media at 30 °C overnight. *S. chlorophenolicum* was grown in the presence of PCP and *R. metallidurans* was grown in the presence of Hg(II) to induce their respective functions. Next, the culture broth was washed with fresh PM media twice. Then the number of live cells was estimated by staining with live/dead dye (Live/Dead *Bac*Light Bacterial viability kit, Molecular Probes) and counting cells under an epi-fluorescence microscope (DMI 6000 B, Leica). A live cell density of ~ 10<sup>9</sup> CFU mL<sup>-1</sup> for both species was required to make fibers.

To prepare the cell suspensions in sodium alginate solutions, *S. chlorophenolicum* and *R. metallidurans* bacterial cells were diluted with PM media and mixed with autoclaved 4% (w/v) sodium alginate solution (from brown algae, Sigma) at a 1:1 volume ratio. Since the device was designed such that only one capillary was used for the core layer and six capillaries were used for the shell layer, the cell density of the species that was deposited in the shell layer was 1/6 the cell density of the

species that was deposited in the core layer. The total number of both species in the whole fiber was the same, and the overall cell density of both species in a tube containing the reaction media and fibers was eventually identical. The resulting cell suspension in sodium alginate solution was mixed by using a vortex machine for 30 s.

Each cell suspension in sodium alginate solution was then infused into the SBC device at flow rate of 100  $\mu$ L min<sup>-1</sup>. The tip of the SBC was immersed in a solution of calcium chloride (100 mM) so that as fibers were extruded, the calcium ions would crosslink the alginate polymer chains into a gel matrix and a calcium alginate matrix would form around the cells. The total volume of the core-shell fiber system was held constant at 420 µL by setting the volume of infusion at 60 µL. To construct core-shell fibers containing S. chlorophenolicum cells in the core layer and R. metallidurans cells in the shell layer, a disposable syringe (1 mL; Becton Dickinson & CO, USA) with a 27 G  $\times$  1/2" needle (Becton Dickinson & CO, USA) was filled with the mixture of S. chlorophenolicum cell suspension and sodium alginate solution (final cell density was  $\sim 5 \times$  $10^8$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>), and then the syringe was connected to barrel #1 (Fig. 2b) of the device. Six disposable syringes (1 mL; BD) containing the mixture of R. metallidurans cell suspension and sodium alginate solution (final cell density was  $\sim 8.3 \times$  $10^7$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>) were then connected to barrels #2 through #7. All seven syringes were attached to a PHD 2000 Infusion syringe pump (Harvard Apparatus, USA) by using a 10-syringe rack (Harvard Apparatus, USA). To construct inverted fibers, we followed the same procedure as above, but switched the species in the core and shell layers.

## Degradation of PCP and reduction of Hg(II)

Degradation of PCP and reduction of Hg(II) were performed in a sterile test tube (Falcon, 14 mL polystyrene round-bottom tube, BD) containing sterilized PM media (3 mL, working volume). In experiments with core-shell fibers, 420  $\mu$ L of the fibers (gel volume) was added to the test tube. An aliquot of PCP solution or an aliquot of Hg(II) solution or both was added to the media from the stock solutions that are described above. When degradation of PCP and reduction of Hg(II) were performed simultaneously, the core-shell fiber systems were pre-incubated in PM media containing only PCP (100 µM) for 12 h, then the main experiment was performed by switching the core-shell fibers into fresh media containing PCP (120 uM) and HgCl<sub>2</sub> (120  $\mu$ M). To optimize the degradation of PCP in all experiments (Fig. S1), the pH of the culture broth was intermittently measured by using pH paper (Hydrion Papers, Micro Essential Laboratory). If the pH of the culture broth was too high, the pH was adjusted to  $\sim$ 7 by adding a HCl solution (1 M), which was filter-sterilized by using a 0.45 µm pore-sized filter (Steriflip, Millipore). Samples of the culture broth (50 µL or 100 µL) were intermittently taken for the analysis of either PCP or total mercury, and these samples were diluted either three or five times with a sterile HCl solution (3 M) in order to stop further reactions. The HCl solution was sterilized by using a 0.45 µm pore-sized filter (Steriflip, Millipore) prior to use. In all core-shell fiber experiments, calcium chloride solution (1 mM, final concentration)

was added to the tube. We confirmed that the presence of  $Ca^{2+}$  ions was not toxic to either species, and affected neither PCP degradation nor Hg(II) ion reduction (data not shown). While standard errors calculated from two measurements are generally not reliable, preliminary experiments (data not shown, n = 3-5) showed high reproducibility with relatively small standard errors. Additionally, all experiments were accompanied by control experiments of liquid mono culture for both PCP degradation and Hg(II) reduction at the same cell density and the same PCP and total Hg concentrations. These control experiments gave a reliable extrapolation that the main experiments with core–shell fibers were highly reproducible. Therefore, all subsequent degradation experiments reported here were done in duplicate.

To normalize the data in Fig. 5, the PCP concentration at each time point was divided by the initial concentration of PCP at 0 h for each experimental setup.

#### Quantitative analysis of pentachlorophenol and mercury

The concentration of pentachlorophenol (PCP) was analyzed by using a high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system (Varian Inc.). An aliquot of each sample taken intermittently was diluted with a sterile HCl solution (3 M) either three or five times depending on the expected concentration. The HCl solution was sterilized by using a 0.45 um pore-sized filter (Steriflip, Millipore) prior to use. After the diluted sample was centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 15 min (Centrifuge 5424, Eppendorf), the supernatant was analyzed using an HPLC system. The sample conveyed by the autosampler system (ProStar 325, Varian Inc.) was flowed into the C18 column (Hypersil GOLD,  $150 \times 4.6$  mm, 5 µm particle size, Thermo Scientific) equipped with a guard column (Hypersil GOLD,  $10 \times 4$  mm, 5 µm particle size, Thermo Scientific) in the isocratic elution of acetonitrile (with 0.1% acetic acid, Sigma) and D.I. water (70:30, v/v) at 0.6 mL min<sup>-1</sup> by using a dual pump system (ProStar 210, Varian Inc.). The PCP peak was monitored by the UV/Vis detector at the wavelength of 210 nm (ProStar 410, Varian Inc.). Chromatogram results were analyzed by using Galaxie software (Varian Inc.). We estimated the concentration of PCP by using a calibration curve which plotted various concentrations of PCP and their corresponding peak areas. In figures, the concentration of PCP was represented by [PCP].

Mercury analysis was performed by the STAT Analysis Corporation (Chicago, IL) by using cold vapor atomic absorption (Model 6000A, CETAC Technologies). In all cases in this paper, 'mercury concentration' indicates the concentration of the total mercury at all oxidation states. In figures, the concentration of the total mercury at all states was represented by [Hg]<sub>I</sub>.

#### Data acquisition and analysis of microscopic images

Fluorescent images (Fig. 2c,e and S7) were acquired by using an epi-fluorescence microscope (IRE2, Leica) equipped with a  $5 \times (0.15 \text{ NA})$  objective and either an L5 (for GFP-labeled *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* strains) or a TX2 filter (for RFP-labeled *E. coli* strain) sets (Leica), which were coupled with a cooled CCD camera (12-bit, 1344  $\times$  1024 resolution; Hamamatsu Photonics) with a  $1.0 \times$  coupler. Fluorescent images of both GFP-labeled cells in a shell layer and RFP-labeled *E. coli* cells in a core layer were taken with an exposure time of 500 ms and 100 ms, respectively. For the overlay of fluorescent images taken by an L5 filter and a TX2 filter set (Fig. 2c and e), the acquired fluorescent images were processed by using MetaMorph image software (Ver 6.3r1, Molecular Devices). In Fig. 2c and e, the fluorescent images taken with an L5 filter were processed with the low scale value of 230 and the high scale value of 290, whereas the fluorescent images taken with a TX2 filter were processed with the low scale value of 200 and the high scale value of 1500. In Fig. S7a, the bright field image was processed with the low scale value of 1000 and the high scale value of 3000 at 2 ms exposure time. The scale values for the fluorescent images in Fig. S7 were the same as in Fig. 2c and e.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the NSF CRC Grant CHE-0526693 and the NIH Director's Pioneer Award (1DP1 OD003584). Part of this work was performed at the microfluidics facility at the Chicago Materials Science and Research Center (Chicago MRSEC). HJK is a recipient of the Leo P. Kadanoff and Stuart A. Rice Fellowship from the Chicago MRSEC. Mercury analysis was performed by the STAT Analysis Corporation. We thank Chuan He for invaluable discussions and for the use of equipment, Songzi Kou for experimental assistance with the design and implementation of the experiment shown in Fig. 2d and e, and Elizabeth B. Haney and Heidi Park for contributions to writing and editing this manuscript.

#### Notes and references

- 1 E. Andrianantoandro, S. Basu, D. K. Karig and R. Weiss, *Mol. Syst. Biol.*, 2006, **2**, 0028.
- 2 K. Brenner, L. C. You and F. H. Arnold, *Trends Biotechnol.*, 2008, **26**, 483–489.
- 3 J. Hasty, D. McMillen and J. J. Collins, *Nature*, 2002, **420**, 224–230.
- 4 S. K. Lee, H. Chou, T. S. Ham, T. S. Lee and J. D. Keasling, *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.*, 2008, **19**, 556–563.
- 5 V. de Lorenzo, Curr. Opin. Biotechnol., 2008, 19, 579-589.
- 6 H. Song, S. Payne, M. Gray and L. C. You, 2009, 5, 929-935.
- 7 H. J. Kim, J. Q. Boedicker, J. W. Choi and R. F. Ismagilov, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2008, 105, 18188–18193.
- 8 S. Peiru, H. Gramajo and H. G. Menzella, *Methods Enzymol.*, 2009, **459**, 319–337.
- 9 L. L. Beer, E. S. Boyd, J. W. Peters and M. C. Posewitz, *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.*, 2009, 20, 264–271.
- 10 V. Gupta and R. Garg, Indian J. Med. Microbiol., 2009, 27, 202–209.
- 11 C. Alisi, R. Musella, F. Tasso, C. Ubaldi, S. Manzo, C. Cremisini and A. R. Sprocati, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 2009, 407, 3024–3032.
- 12 B. Demirel, L. Neumann and P. Scherer, *Eng. Life Sci.*, 2008, **8**, 390–398.
- 13 A. Dechesne, D. Or and B. F. Smets, *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*, 2008, 64, 1–8.
- 14 T. Kaeberlein, K. Lewis and S. S. Epstein, *Science*, 2002, 296, 1127–1129.
- 15 M. Ohno, I. Okano, T. O. Watsuji, T. Kakinuma, K. Ueda and T. Beppu, *Biosci., Biotechnol., Biochem.*, 1999, 63, 1083–1090.
- 16 B. C. Ferrari, S. J. Binnerup and M. Gillings, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 2005, **71**, 8714–8720.

- 17 A. S. Fernandez, S. A. Hashsham, S. L. Dollhopf, L. Raskin, O. Glagoleva, F. B. Dazzo, R. F. Hickey, C. S. Criddle and J. M. Tiedje, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 2000, **66**, 4058–4067.
- 18 Y. Sekiguchi, Y. Kamagata, K. Nakamura, A. Ohashi and H. Harada, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 1999, 65, 1280–1288.
- 19 A. Dechesne, C. Pallud, D. Debouzie, J. P. Flandrois, T. M. Vogel, J. P. Gaudet and G. L. Grundmann, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 2003, 35, 1537–1546.
- 20 A. G. O'Donnell, I. M. Young, S. P. Rushton, M. D. Shirley and J. W. Crawford, *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 2007, 5, 689–699.
- 21 R. Weber, C. Gaus, M. Tysklind, P. Johnston, M. Forter, H. Hollert, E. Heinisch, I. Holoubek, M. Lloyd-Smith, S. Masunaga, P. Moccarelli, D. Santillo, N. Seike, R. Symons, J. Torres, M. Verta, G. Varbelow, J. Vijgen, A. Watson, P. Costner, J. Woelz, P. Wycisk and M. Zennegg, *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, 2008, **15**, 363–393.
- 22 R. Weber, M. Tysklind and C. Gaus, *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, 2008, **15**, 96–100.
- 23 M. Verta, H. Kiviranta, S. Salo, O. Malve, M. Korhonen, P. Verkasalo, P. Ruokojarvi, E. Rossi, A. Hanski, K. Paatalo and T. Vartiainen, *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, 2009, 16, 95–105.
- 24 D. O. Hryhorczuk, W. H. Wallace, V. Persky, S. Furner, J. R. Webster, Jr., D. Oleske, B. Haselhorst, R. Ellefson and C. Zugerman, *Environ. Health Perspect.*, 1998, **106**, 401–408.
- 25 J. Passivirta, J. Särkkä, K. Surma-Aho, T. Humppi, T. Kuokkanen and M. Marttinen, *Chemosphere*, 1983, **12**, 239–252.
- 26 T. R. Sandrin and R. M. Maier, *Environ. Health Persp.*, 2003, 111, 1093–1101.
- 27 C. W. Kuo and B. R. S. Genthner, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 1996, 62, 2317–2323.
- 28 V. Riis, W. Babel and O. H. Pucci, *Chemosphere*, 2002, 49, 559–568.
- 29 J. C. Codina, F. M. Cazorla, A. Perez-Garcia and A. de Vicente, *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, 2000, 19, 1552–1558.
- 30 K. A. McAllister, H. Lee and J. T. Trevors, *Biodegradation*, 1996, 7, 1–40.
- 31 T. Barkay and I. Wagner-Dobler, in *Advances in Applied Microbiology*, ed. A. I. Laskin, J. W. Bennet and G. M. Gadd, Elsevier Academic Press Inc., San Diego, CA, 2005, vol. 57, pp. 1–52.
- 32 Q. Lin, H. M. Zhao and Y. X. Chen, J. Environ. Sci. Health, Part B, 2007, 42, 551–557.
- 33 M. Cai and L. Y. Xun, J. Bacteriol., 2002, 184, 4672-4680.
- 34 B. J. Alloway, *Heavy Metals in Soils*, Blackie Academic & Professional, London, 1995.
- 35 M. Mergeay, S. Monchy, T. Vallaeys, V. Auquier, A. Benotmane, P. Bertin, S. Taghavi, J. Dunn, D. van der Lelie and R. Wattiez, *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.*, 2003, 27, 385–410.
- 36 E. Rossy, L. Champier, B. Bersch, B. Brutscher, M. Blackledge and J. Coves, J. Biol. Inorg. Chem., 2004, 9, 49–58.
- 37 R. L. Crawford, C. M. Jung and J. L. Strap, *Biodegradation*, 2007, 18, 525–539.
- 38 R. R. Pompano, H. W. Li and R. F. Ismagilov, *Biophys. J.*, 2008, 95, 1531–1543.
- 39 C. J. Kastrup, M. K. Runyon, F. Shen and R. F. Ismagilov, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2006, 103, 15747–15752.
- 40 W. D. Deckwer, F. U. Becker, S. Ledakowicz and I. Wagner-Dobler, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2004, 38, 1858–1865.

- 41 M. Hu, M. Kurisawa, R. Deng, C. M. Teo, A. Schumacher, Y. X. Thong, L. Wang, K. M. Schumacher and J. Y. Ying, *Biomaterials*, 2009, **30**, 3523–3531.
- 42 P. J. A. Kenis, R. F. Ismagilov and G. M. Whitesides, *Science*, 1999, 285, 83–85.
- 43 N. W. Choi, M. Cabodi, B. Held, J. P. Gleghorn, L. J. Bonassar and A. D. Stroock, *Nat. Mater.*, 2007, 6, 908–915.
- 44 J. Su, Y. Zheng and H. Wu, Lab Chip, 2009, 9, 996-1001.
- 45 L. E. Euliss, J. A. DuPont, S. Gratton and J. DeSimone, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2006, 35, 1095–1104.
- 46 M. Gensheimer, M. Becker, A. Brandis-Heep, J. H. Wendorff, R. K. Thauer and A. Greiner, Adv. Mater., 2007, 19, 2480–2482.
- 47 S. E. A. Gratton, S. S. Williams, M. E. Napier, P. D. Pohlhaus, Z. L. Zhou, K. B. Wiles, B. W. Maynor, C. Shen, T. Olafsen, E. T. Samulski and J. M. Desimone, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2008, 41, 1685–1695.
- 48 W. Salalha, J. Kuhn, Y. Dror and E. Zussman, *Nanotechnology*, 2006, 17, 4675–4681.
- 49 E. Cardenas, W. M. Wu, M. B. Leigh, J. Carley, S. Carroll, T. Gentry, J. Luo, D. Watson, B. Gu, M. Ginder-Vogel, P. K. Kitanidis, P. M. Jardine, J. Zhou, C. S. Criddle, T. L. Marsh and J. A. Tiedje, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 2008, **74**, 3718–3729.
- 50 J. B. H. Martiny, B. J. M. Bohannan, J. H. Brown, R. K. Colwell, J. A. Fuhrman, J. L. Green, M. C. Horner-Devine, M. Kane, J. A. Krumins, C. R. Kuske, P. J. Morin, S. Naeem, L. Ovreas, A. L. Reysenbach, V. H. Smith and J. T. Staley, *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 2006, 4, 102–112.
- 51 K. Vogl, R. Wenter, M. Dressen, M. Schlickenrieder, M. Ploscher, L. Eichacker and J. Overmann, *Environ. Microbiol.*, 2008, 10, 2842–2856.
- 52 E. K. Costello, C. L. Lauber, M. Hamady, N. Fierer, J. I. Gordon and R. Knight, *Science*, 2009, **326**, 1694–1697.
- 53 K. E. Nelson, G. M. Weinstock, S. K. Highlander, K. C. Worley, H. H. Creasy, J. R. Wortman, D. B. Rusch, M. Mitreva, E. Sodergren, A. T. Chinwalla, M. Feldgarden, D. Gevers, B. J. Haas, R. Madupu, D. V. Ward, B. Birren, R. A. Gibbs, B. Methe, J. F. Petrosino, R. L. Strausberg, G. G. Sutton, O. R. White, R. K. Wilson, S. Durkin, S. Gujja, C. Howarth, C. D. Kodira, N. Kyrpides, T. Mehta, D. M. Muzny, M. Pearson, K. Pepin, A. Pati, X. Qin, C. Yandava, Q. D. Zeng, L. Zhang, A. M. Berlin, L. Chen, T. A. Hepburn, J. Johnson, J. McCorrison, Miller, P. Minx, C. Nusbaum, C. Russ, S. M. Sykes, C. M. Tomlinson, S. Young, W. C. Warren, J. Badger, J. Crabtree, V. M. Markowitz, J. Orvis, A. Cree, S. Ferriera, M. Gillis, L. D. Hemphill, V. Joshi, C. Kovar, K. A. Wetterstrand, A. Abouellleil, A. M. Wollam, C. J. Buhay, Y. Ding, S. Dugan, L. L. Fulton, R. S. Fulton, M. Holder, J. Hostetler, E. Allen-Vercoe, S. W. Clifton, A. M. Earl, C. N. Farmer, M. G. Giglio, K. Liolios, M. G. Surette, M. Torralba, Q. Xu, C. Pohl, K. Wilczek-Boney, D. H. Zhu and J. Human Microbiome, Science, 2010, 328, 994-999.
- 54 P. J. Turnbaugh, R. E. Ley, M. A. Mahowald, V. Magrini, E. R. Mardis and J. I. Gordon, *Nature*, 2006, 444, 1027–1031.
- 55 M. Hentzer, K. Riedel, T. B. Ramussen, A. Heydorn, J. B. Anderson, M. R. Parsek, S. A. Rice, L. Eberl, S. Molin, N. Hoiby, S. Kjelleberg and M. Givskov, *Microbiology*, 2002, 148, 87–102.